

1.6)	Provided helpful feedback on your work/performance	Very Good	75%	5%	20%	0%	0%	Very Poor	n=20 av.=1.5 dev.=0.8
1.7)	Improved your understanding of the course material	Very Good	94.7%	5.3%	0%	0%	0%	Very Poor	n=19 av.=1.1 dev.=0.2
— – 1.8)	Please rate your own contribution to classes/ seminars using the same scale	Very Good		2 50%	3 	40%	5	Very Poor	n=20 av.=2 dev.=0.7
1.9)	In general, how satisfied have you been so far with the class teaching on this course by this teacher?	Very Satisfied	100%	20%	 0% 3	40%	0%	Not Very Satisfied	n=20 av.=1 dev.=0

^{1.10)} Please write below aspects of this teacher's class/seminar teaching that you think could be improved and/or aspects that were particularly helpful.

- provides additional explanation to help us undertand course materials that might not be covered in the quiz
- Identifies potential misundartanding we might have in Understanding course material
- Really Underfood EC201 Centtern so much more than Michael was term 3

-Thankyour (1)

The materials always presented really clearly and Francesco gives really detailed answers to any problems, so its really helpful.

I think I've learned a lot in classes, thank you so much !

the could email the quiz answers after every class, because sometimes he forgets to say the answer on the quiz.

OVR TEACHER IS VERY VERY 600D -> BEST I HAVE HAD SO FAR!

Speak more should , and hand and notes of his own of some topics if we didn't have time in class

- Provide overfile: of when material has been app written hand out copies on inectionity useful; such handout one very useful as you can foury in class rather then meeting motes. - more of these if possible

Hers very He has great passion in teaching. One thing he old really touched me. He added extra hours for his each class to go through problem set in library. I would say he is one the most responsible teacher I met at LSI= The best teacher I have had at LSE sofor! OUTSTOTICING teaching grading !!

Makes a great effort in making sine every studens in the class understands concents.

"Gives a lot of time for students to ask questions even after los !!

Francesco is one of the best teachers I have ever hold at 25°. He really cares about our understanding and actively encourages us to raise any issues we may have. He even tought extra classes to go over the problem set with us! A huge thank you to him for being the reason I enjoy this course so much. Escuellar because Stroop commission.

- Created extra informal sessions to go through written assignment -Before morting each quire we go through an overview of subject matter which is helpful. Great teacher !

Very good at uphining ideas and concepts and ensuing all members of the class understand cruse material.

Try and go though the whole of I quiz each week other than 2 halves of 2 quizzes.

- gives very clear explanation of material
- additional notes + classes are helpful
- though would be better if we can more on faster with the material me each class finish explaining the each quiz more class

Very issponsible teacher

-He explains the theory behind the problem before going knough the particular so hution which is very helpful. -I don't think any of his feaching could be improved, he is the best economics teacher tive had in 3 years at LSE.

Forcesce is a queed teacher, he areves all of our questions well and presents himself as a very enthusiastic individual who really cares about his students · very dars helpful explanations to the guis questions, as well as additional mutandes to help improve ar enderstmating of the main concepts of the cause

Profile


Subunit:	EC - Economics
Name of the instructor:	Francesco RUGGIERI
Name of the course: (Name of the survey)	EC201.A Microeconomic Principles I - Group 17
Comparative line:	Economics Class Survey LT 2015-16

Values used in the profile line: Mean

ų

1. Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation

1.1)	Spoken English	Very Good	Very Poor	n=20 n=3438	av.=1.0 av.=1.5
1.2)	Skill at involving members of the class	Very Good	Very Poor	n=19 n=3410	av.=1.2 av.=1.8
1.3)	Clarity in presenting course material	Very Good	Very Poor	n=19 n=3428	av.=1.1 av.=1.7
1.4)	Made the classes intellectually stimulating for you	Very Good	Very Poor	n=20 n=3388	av.=1.2 av.=1.8
1.5)	Communicated a structure to the topic of each class meeting	Very Good	Very Poor	n=19 n=3395	av.=1.2 av.=1.7
1.6)	Provided helpful feedback on your work/performance	Very Good	Very Poor	n=20 n=3285	av.=1.5 av.=2.0
1.7)	Improved your understanding of the course material	Very Good	Very Poor	n=19 n=3388	av.=1.1 av.=1.7
1.8)	Please rate your own contribution to classes/ seminars using the same scale	Very Good	Very Poor	n=20 n=3345	av.=2.0 av.=2.4
1.9)	In general, how satisfied have you been so far with the class teaching on this course by this teacher?	Very Satisfied	Not Very Satisfied	n=20 n=3393	av.=1.0 av.=1.7



1.6)	Provided helpful feedback on your work/performance	Very Good	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	Very Poor	n=10 av.=1.2 dev.=0.4
1.7)	Improved your understanding of the course material	Very Good	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Very Poor	n=10 av.=1 dev.=0
1.8)	Please rate your own contribution to classes/ seminars using the same scale	Very Good	1	2 40%	3 50%	4	5	Very Poor	n=10 av.=2.4 dev.=0.7
1.9)	In general, how satisfied have you been so far with the class teaching on this course by this teacher?	Very Satisfied	81.8%	18.2% H	0%	40%	 	Not Very Satisfied	n=11 av.=1.2 dev.=0.4

^{1.10)} Please write below aspects of this teacher's class/seminar teaching that you think could be improved and/or aspects that were particularly helpful.

Helped understanding significantly. Should try to cover all answers in one class

Very good at explaining class materials. Sucrificed own time to teach students Very Good!

I like the fact that you go through most of the & parmulas/equations/graph/coloulation. 1

- Better teacher Man Last term (Feare) - be more focued an shart-anone guestices Man guizzes

-helpfu would Examples

Explanations very helpful but rometimes too long. With met we would cover the whole quiz in me dan.

Excellent teaching, fuill explaination, clear structure to claurer. Atmany, the telephoneness happy to affer extra helip, even autoride of clau. Not only does he have a complete understanding of the canner but is able to teach to a very high degree.

He was very helpful in making us undestand the materials. I am throughly enjoying my lessons with Mr Ruggeri. He has about g chariby when teaching, and he explains he content g he rectaires well. I learn about in here lessons and Mr Ruggeri goes above and beyond if we are stuch on a question to make sure we understand star he important concepts.

He is a very good teacher.

VERY GOOD

Profile

Subunit:	EC - Economics
Name of the instructor:	Francesco RUGGIERI
Name of the course: (Name of the survey)	EC201.A Microeconomic Principles I - Group 28
Comparative line:	Economics Class Survey LT 2015-16

Economics Class Survey LT 2015-16

Values used in the profile line: Mean

i,

÷.

1. Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation

1.1)	Spoken English	Very Good	Very Poor	n=12 n=3438	av.=1.1
1.2)	Skill at involving members of the class	Very Good	Very Poor	n=3436 n=11 n=3410	av.=1.5 av.=1.3 av.=1.8
1.3)	Clarity in presenting course material	Very Good	Very Poor	n=12 n=3428	av.=1.0 av.=1.7
1.4)	Made the classes intellectually stimulating for you	Very Good	Very Poor	n=10 n=3388	av.=1.2 av.=1.8
1.5)	Communicated a structure to the topic of each class meeting	Very Good	Very Poor	n=10 n=3395	av.=1.2 av.=1.7
1.6)	Provided helpful feedback on your work/performance	Very Good	Very Poor	n=10 n=3285	av.=1.2 av.=2.0
1.7)	Improved your understanding of the course material	Very Good	Very Poor	n=10 n=3388	av.=1.0 av.=1.7
1.8)	Please rate your own contribution to classes/ seminars using the same scale	Very Good	Very Poor	n=10 n=3345	av.=2.4 av.=2.4
1.9)	In general, how satisfied have you been so far with the class teaching on this course by this teacher?	Very Satisfied	Not Very Satisfied	n=11 n=3393	av.=1.2 av.=1.7